

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/516,955	12/06/2004		Bernd Gromoll	1454.1586	8626		
21171	7590	10/02/2006		EXAMINER			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				SCHEUERMANN, DAVID W			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2834			
				DATE MAILED: 10/02/200	DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/516,955	GROMOLL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David W. Scheuermann	2834		

	Butia VV. Concacilianii		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forthater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing.  (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origr than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	·	. ,	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims	
NOTE: The limitations of "comprising at least one located at a geodetic lower level than the cold sur	e cold head' and "wherein the heat	generating parts of sa	
<ul> <li>search. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ul>	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	ampliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(P10L-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
<i>1</i> 08		DARREN SCHUBERG	MINE
9/19/06	TEC	CHUOLOGY CENTER 2	2800 \

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)